IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CRIMINAL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

CRIMINAL REVISION APPLICATION NO.329 OF 2005

Pagadala T.Reddy .. Petitioner.
Vs.
1.Shri Dhonapati Venkat Reddy
(since deceased)
2.State of Maharashtra .. Respondents.

Mr.S.Y.Katkade for the petitioner.
Mr.A.S.Shitole A.P.P. for the State.

CORAM : D.B.BHOSALE, J.

DATED : 21ST JULY, 2006

P.C.:

. Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned A.P.P. for the State.

2. Mr.Katkade, learned counsel for the petitioner states that he has instructions not to press this revision and the petitioner would be satisfied if the trial Court is directed to dispose of the case within time frame. It appears that the offence was committed in 1986. The case is pending since long. Considering that, I am satisfied that the following order shall meet the ends of justice:

(a) The revision is disposed of as not pressed.

(b) The trial Court is directed to decide the case as expeditiously as possible and preferrably within a period of six months from the date of receipt of this order.

(c) The trial Court shall endeavour to complete the trial, once it begins as far as possible, on day to day basis.

(d) The petitioner to produce a copy of this order before the trial Court within four weeks from today.

. The revision application is, accordingly, disposed of.

(D.B.Bhosale, J.)